

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/833,965	04/11/2001	Ihor W. Tarnawskyj	D/A0895Q	3831	
759	90 04/29/2003				
Patent Documentation Center			EXAMINER		
Xerox Corporation 100 Clinton Ave. S.			REDDICK, MARIE L		
Xerox Square 20th Floor Rochester, NY 14644			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
100, 1 . 1			1713		
			DATE MAILED: 04/29/2003	DATE MAILED: 04/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action 09/833,965 TARNAWSKYJ ET AL. Examiner Art Unit

Examiner Art Uni
Judy M. Reddick 1713

Applicant(s)

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Application No.

THE REPLY FILED 15 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Exami	ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) [
,	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ve, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🖂	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)) L they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(C)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)) 🔯 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. 🖾	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed: NONE
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> .
	Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-17
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>NONE</u> .
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other: Judy M. Readure Judy M. Reddick Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1713

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 009/833,965



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly proposed limitation "seam bonding" adhesive per claims 1, 16 and 17 engenders New Issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is urged and maintained that the instantly claimed invention is properly rejected under 35 USC section 102(b)/103(a) as anticpated by or, in the alternative, as obvious over Hasegawa et al or Helland et al as per reasons stated in the previous Office Action(paper no. 6, 03/13/03. Counsel's arguments, as to neither the composition of Hasegawa et al or Helland et al possesing adhesive properties, have been considered and the Examiner's position stands and is as already stated in the previous Office Action. Counsel's arguments relative to a "seam bonding" adhesive do not apply to the finally rejected claims. These arguments substantiate the Examiner's position that the newly proposed limitation "seam bonding" adhesive would necessitate further consideration.